Object Detection & Segmentation

Object detection

Identify the class and **position** of objects in an image, typically returning a boundary box for each prediction.

Suggested reading: [Object detection algorithms](https://towardsdatascience.com/r-cnn-fast-r-cnn-faster-r-cnn-yolo-object-detection-algorithms-36d53571365e)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ ... 할 → 9 Q @

Semantic Segmentation

Classify each pixel in an image according to the object category it belongs to.

Suggested reading: [A short guide to semantic segmentation](https://heartbeat.fritz.ai/a-2019-guide-to-semantic-segmentation-ca8242f5a7fc)

Both object detection and semantics segmentation are basic t[e](#page-1-0)chniques to *understand the content* on an [im](#page-1-0)[ag](#page-3-0)e[.](#page-2-0)
PROPERTY SERVICE PROPERTY SERVICE PROPERTY SERVICE PROPERTY SERVICE PROPERTY SERVICE PROPERTY SERVICE PROPERTY

Datasets

Building supervised training sets is expensive, since it requires a complex human operation to create ground truth annotations.

Many datasets provide annotations both for detection and segmentation tasks.

[PASCAL Visual Object Classes](http://host.robots.ox.ac.uk/pascal/VOC/)

20 classes:

- Person: person
- Animal: bird, cat, cow, dog, horse, sheep
- Vehicle: aeroplane, bicycle, boat, bus, car, motorbike, train
- Indoor: bottle, chair, dining table, potted plant, sofa, tv/monitor

The train/val data has 11,530 images containing 27,450 ROI annotated objects and 6,929 segmentations.

[Coco:](http://cocodataset.org) a large-scale object detection, segmentation, and captioning dataset composed of over 200K labeled images, spanning 80 categories.

There is an annual competiton

Cityscapes dataset

Semantic understanding of urban street scenarios

A large-scale dataset containing stereo video sequences recorded in street scenes from 50 different cities, with high quality pixel-level annotations of 5K frames, and a larger set of 20K weakly annotated frames.

- [Syntia](https://synthia-dataset.net/) a collection of photo-realistic frames rendered from a virtual city and precise pixel-level semantic annotations for 13 classes: misc, sky, building, road, sidewalk, fence, vegetation, pole, car, sign, pedestrian, cyclist, lane-marking.
- [ScanNet:](http://www.scan-net.org/) Richly-annotated 3D Reconstructions of Indoor Scenes. ScanNet is an RGB-D video dataset containing 2.5 million views in more than 1500 scans, annotated with 3D camera poses, surface reconstructions, and instance-level semantic segmentations.
- [Sun RGB-D:](http://rgbd.cs.princeton.edu/) 10,000 RGB-D images of densely annotated indoor scenes, includes 146617 2D polygons and 58657 3D bounding boxes with accurate object orientations, as well as a 3D room layout and category for scenes.

Some applications

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

Self driving cars

Tracking cars and pedestrians.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | + 9 Q Q ·

Medical imaging

KOKK@KKEKKEK E 1990

Video surveillance

Andrea Asperti 12

KID KARA KE KE KE E 1990

Activity recognition and pose estimation

Suggested reading: [Keypoint Detection with Transfer Learning](https://keras.io/examples/vision/keypoint_detection/)

State of the art technologies

- U-net
- Detectron 2
- Yolo

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q*

U-net

Suggested reading: [U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04597) By O.Ronneberger, P.Fischer, T.Brox

U-net

メロメ 大御 メメ 老人 大君 メー 君一 2990

It does not rely on a classification network.

U-net learns segmentation in an end-to-end setting.

It can work with relatively few training images (data augmentation was largely exploited) and yields accurate precise segmentations.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー QQ Two main approaches:

- \triangleright Region Proposals methods [\(R-CNN,](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2524) [Fast R-CNN,](https://www.cv-foundation.org/openaccess/content_iccv_2015/papers/Girshick_Fast_R-CNN_ICCV_2015_paper.pdf) [Faster](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01497) [R-CNN\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01497). Region Proposals are usually extracted via [Selective](https://ivi.fnwi.uva.nl/isis/publications/2013/UijlingsIJCV2013/UijlingsIJCV2013.pdf) [Search](https://ivi.fnwi.uva.nl/isis/publications/2013/UijlingsIJCV2013/UijlingsIJCV2013.pdf) algorithms, aimed to identify possible locations of interest. These algorithms typically exploit the texture and structure of the image, and are object independent.
- \triangleright Single shots methods (Yolo, SSD, Retina-net, FPN). We shall mostly focus on these really fast techniques.

[Detectron2](https://ai.facebook.com/tools/detectron2/) is a pytorch library developed by Facebook AI Research (FAIR) to support rapid implementation and evaluation of novel computer vision research.

It includes implementations of the following object detection algorithms:

- Mask R-CNN
- RetinaNet
- Faster R-CNN
- RPN
- Fast R-CNN
- TensorMask
- PointRend
- DensePose

and more

[YOLO: Real-Time Object Detection](https://pjreddie.com/darknet/yolo/)

First release in 2016. Now at version 5.

Suggested reading: [YOLO v4 or YOLO v5 or PP-YOLO?](https://towardsdatascience.com/yolo-v4-or-yolo-v5-or-pp-yolo-dad8e40f7109)

KO K 4 B K 4 B K A B K 9 A C A

Speed and accuracy

Source [PP YOLO repo](https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/PaddleDetection/)

KID KARA KE KE KE E 1990

YOLO's architecture

Suggested reading: [Yolo-tutorial in pytorch](https://blog.paperspace.com/how-to-implement-a-yolo-object-detector-in-pytorch/)

Yolo is a Fully Convolutional Networks. The input is progressively downsampled by a factor $2^5 = 32$.

For instance, an input image of dimension 416x416 would be reduced to a grid of neurons of dimension 13x13: the feature map

Which neuron is in charge of detection?

Detection of an object may concern all neurons inside the bounding box.

So, who's in charge for detection?

The answer crucially influences the way the network should be trained.

In YOLO, a single neuron is responsible for detection: the one whose grid-cell contains the center of the bounding box.

This neuron makes a finite number of **predictions** (e.g. 3).

Image Grid. The Red Grid is responsible for detecting the dog

We have 13x13 neurons in the feature map.

Depth-wise, we have $(B \times (5 + C))$ entries, where B represents the number of bounding boxes each cell can predict (say, 3), and C is the number of different object categories.

Each bounding box has $5 + C$ attributes, which describe the center coordinates (2), the dimensions (2), the objectness score (1) and C class confidences.

Trying to directly predict width and the height of the bounding box leads to **unstable gradients** during training.

Most of the modern object detectors predict log-space affine transforms for **pre-defined** default bounding boxes called **anchors**.

Then, these transforms are applied to the anchor boxes to obtain the prediction. YOLO v3 has three anchors, which result in prediction of three bounding boxes per cell.

The bounding box responsible for detecting the object is one whose anchor has the highest IoU with the ground truth box.

Objectness score represents the probability that an object is contained inside a bounding box.

The objectness score is also passed through a sigmoid, as it is to be interpreted as a probability.

KO KKO K LE K LE K LE KORO

Class confidences represent the probabilities of the detected object belonging to a particular class. Before v3, YOLO class scores were computed via a softmax.

Since YOLOv3, multiple sigmoid functions are used instead, considering that objects may belong to multiple (hierachical) categories, and hence labels are not guaranteed to be mutually exclusive.

YOLO's loss function

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ ... 할 → 9 Q @

YOLO's loss function

The loss consists of two parts, the **localization loss** for bounding box offset prediction and the classification loss for conditional class probabilities.

As usual, we shall use v and \hat{v} to denote a *true* value, and the corresponding predicted one.

The lolization loss is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{loc} = \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} 1_{ij}^{obj} [(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + (\sqrt{w_i} - \sqrt{\hat{w}_i})^2 + (\sqrt{h_i} - \sqrt{\hat{h}_i})^2]
$$

where i ranges over cells, and j over bounding boxes.

 1_{ij}^{obj} is a delta function indicating whether the j-th bounding box of the cell i is responsible for the object predict[ion](#page-28-0)[.](#page-30-0)

The classification loss is the sum of two components, relative to the objectness confidence and the actual classification:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{cls} = \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} (1_{ij}^{obj} + \lambda_{n oobj}(1 - 1_{ij}^{obj})) (C_{ij} - \hat{C}_{ij})^2
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{c \in C} 1_{i}^{obj} (p_i(c) - \hat{p}_i(c))^2
$$

 λ_{noobj} is a configurable parameter meant to down-weigth the loss contributed by "background" cells containing no objects. This is important because they are a large majority.

The whole loss is:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{\text{coord}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{cls}}
$$

 λ_{coord} is an additional parameter, balancing the contribution between \mathcal{L}_{loc} and \mathcal{L}_{cls} .

In YOLO, $\lambda_{coord} = 5$ and $\lambda_{nooth} = 0.5$.

KO K 4 B K 4 B K A B K 9 A C A

Non Maximum Suppression

Non Maximum Suppression

Prediction Feature Mans at different Scales

YOLOv3 predicts feature maps at scales 13, 26 and 52.

 13×13 At the end, we have

 $((13\times13)+(26\times26)+(52\times52))$ x3 = 10647

26 x 26

bounding boxes, each one of dimension 85 (4 coordinates, 1 confidence, 80 class probabilities).

 52×52

How can we reduce this number to the few bounding boxes we expect?

Intersection over Union

Typically, the quality of each individual bounding box is evaluated vs. the corresponding ground truth using Intersection over Union

$$
IoU(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}
$$

IoU is also used to evalute the classifier, summing the accuracy of all detections, suitably combined with classification errors, the so called Mean Average Precision (MAP). **KORK SERVER E DAG**

The selection of the "best" bounding boxes is done algorithmically, and it usually composed of two phases:

- Thresholding by Object Confidence: first, we filter boxes based on their objectness score. Generally, boxes having scores below a threshold are ignored.
- Non Maximum Suppression: NMS addresses the problem of multiple detections of the same image, corresponding to different anchors, adjacent cells in maps.

Divide the bounding boxes BB according to the predicted class c. Each list BB_c is processed separately

Order BB_c according to the object confidence.

Initialize TruePredictions to an empty list.

while BB_c is not empy: pop the first element p from BB_c add p to TruePredictions remove from BB_c all elements with an IoU with $p > th$

return TruePredictions

