

CRYPTOGRAPHY
ACADEMIC YEAR 2024-2025
HOMEWORK II
NOVEMBER 8TH, 2024

Please notice that:

- Exercises are meant to be solved *individually*.
- Solutions should be typeset in \LaTeX , and uploaded, in pdf format, to <http://virtuale.unibo.it>. Students are encouraged to use the template `Homework-template-2425.tex`, which can be retrieved from <http://virtuale.unibo.it> itself.
- The deadline for uploading the solutions is Monday, November 18th, at midnight CET.

Exercise 1.

Fix a pseudorandom generator G with expansion factor ℓ , and consider the two algorithms defined as follows:

- **Gen**, on input 1^n , outputs a binary string k drawn uniformly at random from $\{0, 1\}^n$.
- **Mac**, on input $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$, draws at random $r \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ and outputs the pair $\langle r, G(k) \oplus m \oplus r \rangle$, where \oplus stands for bitwise XOR.

First of all, give a definition of **Vrfy** such that the resulting MAC $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Mac}, \text{Vrfy})$ is at least correct. Is there any hope that Π is secure?

Exercise 2.

Let **Gen** be like in Exercise 1 above, and let F be a pseudorandom function. Consider the three functions H_1 , H_2 and H_3 defined as follows (where $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $x \cdot y$ is the concatenation of x and y):

$$H_1^s(x \cdot y) = x \oplus y \oplus s \quad H_2^s(x \cdot y) = F_s(x \oplus y) \quad H_3^s(x \cdot y) = F_s(x) \oplus y$$

Which ones among (Gen, H_1) , (Gen, H_2) and (Gen, H_3) are collision-resistant hash functions?

Exercise 3.

The notion of second pre-image resistance which we have informally considered, can be formalized through the following experiment, where $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, H)$ is a hash function for messages of length $\ell(n)$:

```
HashSec $_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}(1^n)$  :  
   $s \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$   
   $x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$   
   $y \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(s, x)$   
  return  $(x \neq y) \wedge H^s(x) = H^s(y)$ 
```

As expected, such a Π is said to be second pre-image resistant if and only if for every PPT adversary \mathcal{A} there is a negligible function ε such that

$$\Pr [\text{HashSec}_{\mathcal{A}, \Pi}(1^n) = 1] = \varepsilon(n)$$

Prove formally that collision resistance implies second-preimage resistance.