SUPPOSE THE DDH ASSUMPTION HOLDS WITH RESPECT TO GE-CG. THEN, THE ELGAMAL ENCRYPTION SCHEME IS SECURE
PROOF.

LET US CONSIDER, JUST FOR THE SAKE OF PROVING THIS RESULT, A VARIATION IT OF THE ELGAMAL ENCRIPTION SCHEME, IN WHICH GEN IS KEPT LIKE IN ELGAMAL, WHILE ENC IS REPLACED BY THE FOLLOWING ALGORITHM:

Enc ((G,9,8,h)m): y ← Zq; z ← Zq; return (g7,g2m)

ALTHOUGH BEING COMPLETELY USELESS IN PRACTICE, TI SATISFIES THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY:

Pr [Rubk = 1 (") = 2] - 1/2

THIS IS BECAUSE THE CHALLENGE CIPHERTEXT CONTAINS NO INFORMATION ALLOWING THE ADVERSART TO DISCRIMINATE BETWEEN M. AND MI, SIMPLY BECAUSE M IS MULTIPLIED BY 32, AND THE FIRST COMPONENT & TO INDIPENDENT FROM & 2.

NOW THE REAL PROOF BY REDUCTION CAN START. WE BUILD AN ADVERSARY B AGAINST DOH FROM AN ADVERSARY B AGAINST DOH FROM AN ADVERSARY A AGAINST ELGAMAL IM SUCH A WAY THAT IF A IS SUCCESSPUL, THEN B IS SUCCESSFUL:

Adversity B (G,9,8,8,7,1,h):
.WE INVOKE A ON INOUT 191 AND
(G,9,8,8,), AND A RETURNS MO, M1
.b \(\frac{1}{2},2\)
.WE BUILD C AS (\$7, mb.h)
.WE PASS C TO A, WHICH RETURNS b*

WHAT CAN WE SAT? AL LEAST, WE KNOW THAT

Pr (PUBKA, π (N) = 2) = Pr (B(G,9,8,8,1,1,8,2)=1)

Pr (Pubka, π (N) = 1) = Pr (B(G,9,8,8,2,1,8,2,1)=1)

NOW, IF A BREAKS TI, THEN Pr(Publication (n)=2) IS IN THE FORM $N_2+\eta(n)$ where γ is not NEGLIGIBLE BUT SINCE WE KNOW THAT $\Pr(P_0bk_{A_1\widetilde{h}}^{e_{JV}}(n)=1)=1/2$, Then WE CAN CONCLUDE THAT

[Pr(B(G,9,8,8x,83,5=)=2)-Pr(B(G,9,8,8x,83,8x)=2)] = V(h)

WHICH IS THE THESIS: B IS SUCCESSFUL!